UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,126	02/14/2006	Yasuo Masuda	SHIGA7.045APC	1055
	7590 11/21/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST		CHU, JOHN S Y		
FOURTEENTH IRVINE, CA 92		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10568126	2/14/06	MASUDA ET AL.		SHIGA7.045APC
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			JOH	HN S CHU
FOURTEENTH FLOOF IRVINE, CA 92614	ζ		ART UNIT	PAPER
			1795	20081113

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 10/568,126 Filing Date: February 14, 2006 Appellant(s): MASUDA ET AL.

Neil Bartfeld For Appellant

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

The following evidence is submitted to complete item 8 from the Examiner's Answer mailed September 10, 2008.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

1.	2003/01655770	September 4, 2003	LEE et al
2.	2003/0059706	March 27m. 2003	MISUMI et al

Art Unit: 1795

3.	6,869,742	March 22, 2005	MIZUTA et al
4.	6,910,816	January 4, 2000	NISHIO et al
5.	5,759,736	June 2, 1998	NISHI et al
6.	5,422,221	June 6, 1995	OKAZAKI et al
7.	5,145,763	September 8, 1992	BASSETT et al
8.	4,847,178	July 11, 1989	KOMANO et al

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

/John S. Chu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

J.Chu November 13, 2008